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Abstract—The application of differential modulation diversity (DMD) to orthogonal frequency division multiplexing with multiple transmit and/or receive antennas is considered. Based on diagonal signals originally designed for differential space-time modulation, DMD is used to exploit both space and frequency diversity. At the receiver, low-complexity decision-feedback differential detection without channel state information is employed. Judging from analytical expressions for the pairwise error probability, the improvements and effects due to spatial and/or spectral modulation diversity are pointed out. The numerical results are in good accordance with the presented simulation results.

I. INTRODUCTION

Orthogonal frequency division multiplexing (OFDM) is an attractive technique for transmission over frequency-selective channels since it allows for low-complexity channel equalization at the receiver [1]. More specifically, OFDM decomposes the frequency-selective channel into orthogonal non-frequency-selective subchannels with complex fading gains equal to the samples of the underlying channel transfer function. However, as the channel transfer function may considerably vary over the frequency range used for transmission, unequal detection error probabilities for different OFDM subchannels result. In particular, the average error probability is dominated by the worst-case error rate. To overcome this limitation\(^1\), some kind of diversity has to be utilized in the detection process.

For this purpose, differential modulation diversity (DMD) recently introduced for transmission over flat fading channels [2] is adapted to OFDM. Although DMD, in general, can exploit time, frequency, and space diversity, here we focus on frequency and space diversity to keep the transmission delay low. Space diversity refers to an increased number of transmit \((N_T)\) and/or receive \((N_R)\) antennas. In contrast to previous approaches [3], [4], where coherent OFDM transmission is regarded, DMD enables noncoherent reception without channel state information (CSI) at the receiver. This is particularly appealing as channel estimation units, possibly for a number of communication links if \(N_T > 1\) and/or \(N_R > 1\), are not required.

The proposed diversity scheme is based on diagonal signals originally designed for differential space-time modulation (DSTM) over block flat fading channels [5], [6]. At the receiver, low-complexity yet power-efficient decision-feedback differential detection (DF-DD) presented for DSTM in [7] is adopted.

To assess the system performance, expressions for the pairwise error probability and an approximation for the bit error rate (BER) for DF-DD are derived. Both numerical and simulation results for typical mobile communication scenarios confirm in good accordance the efficiency of DMD for noncoherent OFDM transmission. Although we mainly focus on uncoded transmission, we would like to mention that DMD can be straightforwardly combined with error-correction coding.

II. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, the frequency-selective fading channel model and OFDM transmission are discussed, and diagonal signals are briefly reviewed. First, some notation is set.

Notation

Bold upper case \(X\) and lower case \(x\) denote matrices and vectors, respectively. \(\det(\cdot), (\cdot)^T, (\cdot)^H,\) and \((\cdot)^*\) refer to the determinant of a matrix, transposition, Hermitian transposition, and complex conjugation, respectively. \(\text{diag}\{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_L\}\) is a diagonal matrix with main diagonal elements \(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_L\), whereas \(I_M\) and \(0_M\) are the \(M \times M\) identity matrix and the \(M \times M\) all-zero matrix, respectively. \(\Pr\{\cdot\}, \mathbb{E}\{\cdot\}, \Re\{\cdot\},\) and \(\mathbb{P}\) denote the probability of the event in brackets, expectation, the real part of a complex number, and the Kronecker product, respectively. Throughout this paper, all signals are represented by their complex-baseband equivalents.

Frequency-Selective Fading Channel Model

We consider OFDM for a mobile communications scenario using \(N_T\) transmit and \(N_R\) receive antennas. The continuous-time multipath channel between the \(\mu\)th
transmit and \( \nu \)th receive antenna is described by the input delay-spread function [8]

\[
h_{\nu \omega}(\tau, t) = \sum_{i=0}^{L_h-1} h_{i \nu \omega}^i(t) g(\tau - \tau_i), \tag{1}
\]

where \( L_h \) is the number of propagation paths, \( \tau_i \) denotes the delay of the \( i \)th path, \( h_{i \nu \omega}^i(t) \) represents the corresponding complex amplitude, and \( g(t) \) includes the effects of transmit and receive filter. Assuming the widely applied Gaussian wide-sense stationary uncorrelated scattering (GWSSUS) channel, \( h_{i \nu \omega}^i(t) \) are mutually uncorrelated WSS zero-mean complex Gaussian processes with variances \( \sigma_i^2 \) and identical normalized time covariance functions. The functions \( h_{i \nu \omega}(\tau, t) \) of different antenna pairs are presumed as mutually independent with identical channel profile, i.e., \( L_h \) and \( \tau_i \) and time correlation of \( h_{i \nu \omega}^i(t) \) are the same.

For OFDM transmission the time-variant channel transfer function \( H_{\nu \omega}(f, t) \), which is the Fourier transform of \( h_{\nu \omega}(\tau, t) \) with respect to \( \tau \), is important. With \( G(f) \) as Fourier transform of \( g(t) \), from (1) we have

\[
H_{\nu \omega}(f, t) = G(f) \left( \sum_{i=0}^{L_h-1} h_{i \nu \omega}^i(t) e^{-j2\pi ft\tau_i} \right). \tag{2}
\]

Usually, \( |G(f)| \approx 1 \) is true in the frequency range of interest and thus, the particular choice of \( G(f) \) can be neglected. Applying Clarke’s model [9] with maximum Doppler frequency \( f_D \), the random process \( H_{\nu \omega}(f, t) \) is described by its covariance function [10] \((J_0(\cdot): \text{zeroth order Bessel function of the first kind})

\[
\psi_{HH}(f', t') \triangleq \mathbb{E}\left\{ H_{\nu \omega}(f + f', t + t')H_{\nu \omega}^{*}(f, t) \right\} = J_0(2\pi f_D t') \sum_{i=0}^{L_h-1} \sigma_i^2 e^{-j2\pi f't\tau_i}. \tag{3}
\]

**OFDM Transmission**

OFDM with \( D \) subcarriers spectrally spaced by \( \Delta f \) and guard interval \( D_g \cdot T \) (\( T \): modulation interval) is applied. Assuming proper dimensioning of \( D \) and \( D_g \) such that the transmission channel remains almost constant during one OFDM block of length \( T_f = (D + D_g)T \) and interblock interference is practically avoided, the coefficient

\[
H_{\nu \omega}[m, k] \triangleq H_{\nu \omega}(m\Delta f, kT_f), \tag{4}
\]

\( 0 \leq m \leq D - 1, \; k \in \mathbb{Z} \), represents the effective flat fading channel for the \( m \)th subcarrier of the \( k \)th OFDM block, cf. e.g. [11].

Furthermore, the discrete-time received signal at subcarrier \( m \) and antenna \( \nu \) is impaired by a complex additive white Gaussian noise (AWGN) process \( n_{\nu}[m, k] \). The AWGN processes are presumed to be spatially, temporally, and spectrally uncorrelated and to have equal variance \( \sigma_n^2 = \mathbb{E}\{|n_{\nu}[m, k]|^2\} \), \( 0 \leq \nu \leq N_R - 1 \).

**Diagonal Signals**

The concept of DMD is based on diagonal signals introduced in [5], [6]. The diagonal matrix \( \mathbf{V}[m, k] = (\mathbf{V}_i)_i[m,k] \) taken from the set \( \mathcal{V} = \{\mathbf{V}_i = \text{diag}\{\exp(j2\pi u_i/L), \ldots, \exp(j2\pi u_{N_S-1}/L)\}\}_i \in \{0, 1, \ldots, L - 1\} \) of size \( L = 2^{N_S} \) is addressed by the data symbol \( l[m, k] \), where \( m, k \in \mathbb{Z} \) represent discrete-frequency and discrete-time index, respectively. The data rate is \( R \) bits per channel use. Then, \( N_S \) jointly modulated transmitted symbols \( s_{\eta}[m, k], 0 \leq \eta \leq N_S - 1 \), arranged in the diagonal matrix \( \mathbf{S}[m, k] \triangleq \text{diag}\{s_{\eta_0}[m, k], \ldots, s_{\eta_{N_S-1}}[m, k]\} \) are obtained by differential encoding. Applying DMD to OFDM, differential encoding can be done in frequency direction

\[
\mathbf{S}[m, k] = \mathbf{V}[m, k] \mathbf{S}[m - 1, k] \tag{5}
\]
or in time-direction

\[
\mathbf{S}[m, k] = \mathbf{V}[m, k] \mathbf{S}[m, k - 1]. \tag{6}
\]

For optimum power efficiency, the coefficients \( u_\eta, 0 \leq \eta \leq N_S - 1 \), have to be optimized to maximize the diversity product [6]. For the numerical results presented in Section VI, the parameters given in [6, Table I] are adopted.

**III. Differential Modulation Diversity for OFDM**

In this section, diagonal signals, originally proposed to utilize space diversity only [5], [6], are applied to OFDM to exploit jointly space and frequency diversity. We distinguish two possible scenarios for OFDM transmission. Whereas for single-block transmission OFDM symbols consecutive in time are supposed to be transmitted independently of each other, for multiple-block transmission one communication link is established over a number of OFDM blocks.

**Single-Block Transmission**

In case of single-block transmission differential encoding has to be performed across subcarriers, i.e., in frequency direction (5).

To exploit both space and frequency diversity, \( N_S = N_B N_T \) (scalar) symbols are jointly modulated and the corresponding matrix symbol is transmitted over \( N_T \) antennas and \( N_B \) subcarriers for each antenna. This
means, \( N_I \triangleq \frac{D}{N_S} = \frac{D}{(N_B N_T)} \) matrix symbols\(^2\) \( S[m, k] = \text{diag}\{s_0[m, k], \ldots, s_{N_T - 1}[m, k]\}, \)

\[ 0 \leq m \leq N_T - 1, \text{are transmitted within one OFDM block. For low correlation with respect to frequency, it is conveniently to choose the } N_B \text{ subcarriers to be spectrally spaced by } N_T N_T N_T.\]

Fig. 1. Differential modulation diversity for OFDM transmission and \( N_T = 2, N_B = 2 \).

Formally, we write \( N_I \) subcarrier matrix symbols \( S[m, k] \) in the vector \( s[k] \triangleq [s_0[0, k], s_1[0, k], \ldots, s_{N_T - 1}[0, k], s_0[1, k], \ldots, s_{N_T - 1}[1, k], \ldots, s_{N_T - 1}[N_T - 1, k]] \) of \( D = N_T N_B N_T \) transmit symbols. This vector is partitioned into \( N_T \) subblocks \( s[k] \triangleq [s_0[0, k], s_{N_T + \mu}[0, k], \ldots, s_{N_T - 1}[N_T - 1, k]] \), \( 0 \leq \mu \leq N_T - 1, \) of length \( N_B N_T \), each associated with one transmit antenna. Specifically, at frequency \( N_T N_T + N_T m + \mu, \) \( 0 \leq \mu \leq N_T - 1, \) \( 0 \leq \mu \leq N_T N_T - 1, \) \( 0 \leq m \leq N_T - 1, \) antenna \( \mu \) transmits the symbol \( s_{N_T + \mu}[m, k], \) while the remaining \( N_T - 1 \) antennas are not active. For the example of \( N_T = 2 \) and \( N_B = 2 \) the modulation scheme is illustrated in Fig. 1.

At receive antenna \( \nu \) the \( D \) received samples \( r_{\nu}[w, k], \)

\[ u \triangleq N_T N_B m + N_T \kappa + \mu, \] \( \text{are arranged such that } N_T N_B \) successive symbols correspond to one matrix symbol \( S[m, k] \)

\[ r_{\nu}[w, k] = H_{\nu \omega}[w', k] s_{N_T + \mu}[m, k] + n_{\nu}[w', k], \quad (7) \]

\[ u' \triangleq N_T N_T + N_T m + \mu, \quad 0 \leq \mu \leq N_T - 1, \quad 0 \leq \mu \leq N_B - 1, \]

**Multiple-Block Transmission**

**Fig. 1.** Differential modulation diversity for OFDM transmission and \( N_T = 2, N_B = 2 \).

**Multiple-Block Transmission**

For the scenario of multiple-block transmission we concentrate on differential encoding in time direction (6). This is motivated by the fact that usually the channel coefficients \( H[m, k] \) are stronger correlated in time \( \) than in frequency \( m \) since OFDM requires the channel to be virtually time-invariant during the block duration \( T_T \). Furthermore, we restrict DMD to utilize space and/or frequency diversity. Although, in general, DMD with diagonal signals is qualified for exploiting jointly space, frequency, and time diversity, the latter would entail large transmission delays.

Then, as for single-block transmission, \( N_I \) matrix symbols \( S[m, k], 0 \leq m \leq N_T - 1, \) are transmitted within one OFDM block at time \( k \) (see Fig. 1), but differential encoding is performed with respect to \( k \) (6). Consequently, the input-output relation (7) remains valid also for multiple-block transmission, but the noncoherent receiver processing differs as explained subsequently.

**IV. Decision-Feedback Differential Detection**

For power-efficient yet low-complexity noncoherent multiple-antenna transmission without CSI, DF-DD as devised in [7] for the flat fading case can be favorably applied to the current situation.

For DF-DD and differential encoding in time (frequency) \( N - 2 \) previously decided symbols \( l[m, k - \xi] \) \( (l[m, k - \xi], 1 \leq \xi \leq N - 2, \) are employed to decide on \( l[m, k] \). In perfect analogy to the flat fading case [7], [2] the DF-DD decision rule reads

\[ l[m, k] = \arg \max_{\hat{l}} \Re \left\{ \sum_{\mu=0}^{N_T-1} \sum_{\nu=0}^{N_B} \sum_{v=0}^{L} \left( j^{2\pi \nu N_T \kappa + \mu \lambda} \right) \right\} \quad (8) \]

with the reference signal \( \hat{l}[l_{\nu \omega}, \nu, \omega], \) for differential encoding in time

\[ \hat{l}[l_{\nu \omega}, \nu, \omega] = \hat{l}[l_N T B m + N_T \kappa + \mu, k - 1] \]

\[ \hat{l}[l_N T B m + N_T \kappa + \mu, (k - \xi)] \quad (9) \]

and the reference signal \( \hat{l}[l_{\nu \omega}, \nu, \omega], \) for differential encoding in frequency

\[ \hat{l}[l_{\nu \omega}, \nu, \omega] = \hat{l}[l_N T B (m - 1) + N_T \kappa + \mu, k] \]

\[ \hat{l}[l_N T B (m - \xi) + N_T \kappa + \mu, (k - \xi)] \quad (10) \]

respectively. Here, \( \nu_{\xi} \) and \( \nu_{\xi}, 1 \leq \xi \leq N - 1, \) are the coefficients of an \( (N - 1) \)st order linear predictor for the process

\[ \hat{c}_{\nu}[m, k] \triangleq H_{\nu}[m, k] + n_{\nu}[m, k], \quad (11) \]

with respect to \( k \) and

\[ \hat{c}_{\nu}[N_T m, k] \triangleq H_{\nu}[N_T m, k] + n_{\nu}[N_T m, k], \quad (12) \]
with respect to \(m\), respectively. The predictor coefficients can be calculated from the Wiener-Hopf equation or adaptively by application of the recursive least-squares (RLS) algorithm \[12\], \[7\]. For \(N = 2\) DF-DD is equivalent to conventional DD \[6\].

V. PERFORMANCE ANALYSIS

To analyze the performance of DMD for OFDM with DF-DD an expression for the pairwise error probability \(P_e(l_1, l_2)\) of detecting \(l[m, k] = l_2\), when \(l[m, k] = l_1\) \((l_1, l_2 \in \{0, 1, \ldots, L - 1\}, l_1 \neq l_2)\), is given. Based on \(P_e(l_1, l_2)\) the bit-error rate (BER) is approximated. For mathematical tractability we assume perfect feedback, i.e., \(\hat{l}[m, k - \xi] = l[m, k - \xi]\) \((\hat{l}[m - \xi, k] = l[m - \xi, k])\) is introduced in \((9)\) \((10)\). In general, it turns out that error propagation increases BER by a factor of two and thus, the approximate error rate for realizable DF-DD may be obtained by doubling the error rate for genie-aided DF-DD.

**Pairwise Error Probability**

For genie-aided DF-DD from \((7)\) and \((8)\) the pairwise error probability can be expressed as \[2\]

\[
P_e(l_1, l_2) = \Pr[\Delta(l_1, l_2) < 0]
\]

with the Hermitian quadratic form of zero-mean complex Gaussian distributed random variables

\[
\Delta(l_1, l_2) = \mathbf{g}^H \mathbf{F} \mathbf{g}
\]

Here, the definitions

\[
\mathbf{F} = \begin{bmatrix}
0_{N_T N_B N_R} & \mathbf{C}^H \\
\mathbf{C} & 0_{N_T N_B N_R}
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{I}_{N_k} \otimes \text{diag}\{C_{00}, C_{10}, \ldots, C_{N_T-1 N_B-1}\}
\]

\[
\mathbf{g} = [x^T \ y^T]^T
\]

\[
\mathbf{x} = [x_{[00]} m, k, 0] \ldots x_{[N_T-1 m, k, 0]}
\]

\[
\mathbf{y} = [y_{[00]} m, k-1, 0] \ldots y_{[N_T-1 N_B-1]}
\]

\[
\mathbf{g}' = [g_{[00]} m, k-1, 0] \ldots g_{[N_T-1 N_B-1]}
\]

\[
\mathbf{C}_{\mu\nu} = 1 - \exp(j2\pi u N_T R + \mu l_1 - l_2)/L
\]

\[
x_{\mu\nu}[m, k, \kappa] \triangleq H_{\mu\nu}[N_T N_T k + N_T m + \mu, k] + n_{\mu\nu}[N_T N_T k + N_T m + \mu, k] s_{\mu\nu}[m, k]
\]

\[
y_{\mu\nu}'[m, k-1, \kappa] \triangleq \sum_{\xi=1}^{N-1} p_{\xi} x_{\mu\nu}[m, k-\xi, \kappa]
\]

\[
y_{\mu\nu}^f[m-1, k, \kappa] \triangleq \sum_{\xi=1}^{N-1} p_{\xi}^f x_{\mu\nu}[m-\xi, k, \kappa]
\]

are used, and the superscripts ' and ' refer to differential encoding in time and frequency, respectively.

With the Laplace transform \[13\]

\[
\Phi_{\Delta[l_1, l_2]}(s) = \left( \det \{I_{2 N_T N_B N_R} + \sigma_{\mathbf{g}} \mathbf{F} \} \right)^{-1}
\]

of the probability density function (pdf) of \(\Delta(l_1, l_2)\), where the covariance matrix \(\sigma_{\mathbf{g}} = \mathbf{E} \{\mathbf{g} \mathbf{g}^H\}\) depends exclusively on \(\psi_{HH}(f', t')\), and \(\sigma_{\mathbf{g}}^2\) defined in Section II, \(P_e(l_1, l_2)\) can now be calculated as

\[
P_e(l_1, l_2) = \frac{1}{2}\int_{\gamma}^{\infty}_{\gamma} \Phi_{\Delta[l_1, l_2]}(s) \ ds,
\]

where \(\gamma > 0\) lies in the region of convergence of \(\Phi_{\Delta[l_1, l_2]}(s)\). A closed-form solution for the integral \((26)\) may be obtained by the residue method, cf. \[14\]. \(P_e(l_1, l_2)\) can be also efficiently computed based on a change of variable and Gauss-Chebyshev quadrature rules \[15\].

**Approximation for BER**

The results of the previous section may be used to obtain an approximation for BER. Using the group property of diagonal signals \[5\], \[6\] and applying Gray labeling for the \(N_T N_B R\) bits assigned to the symbols \(l\) (i.e., matrix \(V_l\), \(0 \leq l \leq L - 1\), a simple approximation for the BER for genie-aided DF-DD is obtained by \[2\]

\[
P_b^\text{genie} \approx \frac{1}{L} \sum_{l=1}^{L-1} P_e(l, 0).
\]

For \(N > 2\) erroneous feedback symbols increase BER approximately by a factor of two (cf. \[2\]). Hence, an approximation for the BER of realizable DF-DD is

\[
P_b \approx \begin{cases}
P_b^\text{genie} / 2, & N = 2 \\
P_b^\text{genie}, & N > 2
\end{cases}
\]

This result will be used in the next section to support our simulations and to discuss the properties of DMD for OFDM with DF-DD.

VI. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The channel model described in Section II with typical urban (TU), hilly terrain (HT), and equalizer test (EQ) power delay profiles \[16\] is used for simulation and analytical performance evaluation of the proposed DMD for OFDM. We adopt the OFDM parameters from
[10], [3], i.e., there are $D = 120$ active subcarriers, subcarrier spacing is $\Delta f = 6250$ Hz, and block duration is $T_b = 200 \mu s$ including a guard time of $40 \mu s$. Focusing on transmit (modulation) diversity, we restrict ourselves to the case of one receive antenna ($N_R = 1$). Diagonal constellations with $R = 2$ bits/channel use are employed. As measure of power efficiency we define the signal-to-noise ratio $\text{SNR} = \sum_{i=0}^{L_A-1} \alpha_i^2 / \sigma_n^2$.

**Single-Block Transmission**

First, we consider DMD with pure frequency diversity ($N_T = 1$). Figs. 2a), b), and c) show BER vs. $10 \log_{10}(\text{SNR})$ for $N_B = 1$, $N_B = 2$, and $N_B = 3$, respectively, and the TU power delay profile. Apparently, increasing $N_B$ combats the frequency-selective behavior of the transmission channel. In addition, DF-DD with $N = 5$ yields a higher power efficiency than conventional DD ($N = 2$) and in the SNR range of interest an error floor can be avoided. We note that the numerical approximation and the simulation results match remarkably well.

Next, the BER’s for DMD with pure space diversity ($N_B = 1$) are depicted in Figs. 3a), b), and c) for $N_T = 1$, $N_T = 2$, and $N_T = 3$, respectively. Again, the TU power delay profile is exemplarily considered. The curves are very similar to the case of pure frequency diversity in Figs. 2a), b), and c) (Figs. 2a) and 3a) show identical curves for $N_T = N_B = 1$). However, whereas idealized coherent transmission with perfect CSI can benefit from the increased spatial diversity compared to the spectral diversity, noncoherent transmission with conventional DD suffers from a high error floor. Although through DF-DD with $N = 5$ this error floor vanishes, the performance gap to coherent reception increases with $N_T$. This behavior is due to the higher effective fading rate (in frequency direction) of the process $c_{j,\nu}[N_Tm, k]$ in (12), which grows with $N_T$.

To further highlight the influence of space and frequency diversity, different diversity schemes with $N_T \cdot N_B = 4$ are compared for the TU, HT, and EQ channel in Figs. 4a), b), and c), respectively. Approximations of BER are exclusively shown. As for coherent reception with perfect CSI the effective fading rate has no influence on BER, the best performance is always achieved with $N_T = 4$ ($N_B = 1$) spatially uncorrelated transmit antennas. We observe that for the EQ channel frequency diversity is almost as effective as space diversity. On the other hand, for conventional DD $N_B = 4$ ($N_T = 1$) yields the lowest error floor, and the EQ channel constitutes the worst-case scenario. When applying DF-DD with $N = 5$, DMD exploiting frequency and space diversity with $N_B = N_T = 2$ turns out to be robust solution with respect to the different channel scenarios.

**Multiple-Block Transmission**

Since for multiple-block transmission with differential encoding in time direction the number of transmit antennas $N_T$ does not influence the effective fading rate (compare $c_{j,\nu}[m, k]$ and $c_{j,\nu}[N_Tm, k]$ in (11) and (12), respectively), increasing $N_T$ is beneficial in all cases. Hence, for the scenario of spatially uncorrelated antenna links considered here, $N_T$ should be chosen as large as possible, i.e., an exchange between $N_T$ and $N_B$ for fixed $N_T \cdot N_B$ (see Fig. (4)) is not recommended.

Assuming a TU channel with maximum Doppler frequency $f_D = 40$ Hz ($f_D T_b = 0.008$), numerical results of BER for a) $N_T = 1$, $N_B = 1$, b) $N_T = 2$,
straightforwardly extended to general spatially correlated frequency-selective Ricean fading channels [2].

Comparing the results for noncoherent reception and differential encoding in time with the corresponding curves for differential encoding in frequency (Figs. 5a), b), and c), with Figs. 3a), b) and Fig. 5c) with Fig. 4a)), we note that differential encoding in time is advantageous, since for the considered transmission scenario the channel variation in time is smaller than in frequency. Moreover, the gap in power efficiency between coherent reception with perfect CSI and DF-DD with $N = 5$ and the error floor for conventional DD, respectively, do not increase with $N_T$.  

### VII. Conclusions

Differential modulation diversity for OFDM is introduced as powerful technique to improve power efficiency for transmission over frequency-selective channels. Both space and frequency diversity are efficiently utilized for OFDM transmission. For noncoherent reception low-complexity DF-DD is favorably applied. The error analysis highlights the effects of space and frequency diversity on the performance of OFDM with differential encoding in time and frequency, respectively. We would like to mention that the application and the performance analysis of DMD can be straightforwardly extended to general spatially correlated frequency-selective Ricean fading channels [2].
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